

# YET ANOTHER HOPF INVARIANT

JEAN-PAUL DOERAENE AND MOHAMMED EL HAOUARI

**ABSTRACT.** The classical Hopf invariant is defined for a map  $f: S^r \rightarrow X$ . Here we define ‘hcat’ which is some kind of Hopf invariant built with a construction in Ganea’s style, valid for maps not only on spheres but more generally on a ‘relative suspension’  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ . We study the relation between this invariant and the sectional category and the relative category of a map. In particular, for  $\iota_X: A \rightarrow X$  being the ‘restriction’ of  $f$  on  $A$ , we have  $\text{relcat } \iota_X \leq \text{hcat } f \leq \text{relcat } \iota_X + 1$  and  $\text{relcat } f \leq \text{hcat } f$ .

Our aim here is to make clearer the link between the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category (cat), more generally the ‘relative category’ (relcat), closely related to James’s sectional category (secat), and the Hopf invariants. In order to do this, we introduce a new integer, namely hcat, that combines the Iwaze’s version of Hopf invariant [3], based on the *difference up to homotopy between two maps* defined for a given section of a Ganea fibration, and the framework of the sectional and relative categories, searching for the *least integer* such that the Ganea fibration has a section, possibly with additional conditions. To do this combination, we simply define our invariant hcat, as the least integer such that the Ganea fibration has a section  $\sigma$  with additional condition that the corresponding two maps ( $f \circ \sigma$  and  $\omega_n$  in this paper) are homotopic.

It appears that for  $f: S^r \rightarrow X$  or even for  $f: \Sigma W \rightarrow X$ , we obtain an integer that can be either  $\text{cat}(X)$ , or  $\text{cat}(X) + 1$ . More generally, for any  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ , we have  $\text{relcat}(f \circ \theta) \leq \text{hcat}(f) \leq \text{relcat}(f \circ \theta) + 1$ , where  $\theta: A \rightarrow \Sigma_A W$  is the map arising in the construction of  $\Sigma_A W$ .

In section 2, we study the influence of hcat in a homotopy pushout. In section 3, we introduce the ‘strong’ version of our invariant, and we obtain another important inequality: for any  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ , we have  $\text{relcat}(f) \leq \text{hcat}(f)$ . In section 4, we give alternative equivalent conditions to get hcat. Applications and examples are given.

## 1. THE HOPF CATEGORY

We work in the category of pointed topological spaces. All constructions are made up to homotopy. A ‘homotopy commutative diagram’ has to be understood in the sense of [4].

Recall the following construction:

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55M30.

*Key words and phrases.* Ganea fibration, sectional category, Hopf invariant.

**Definition 1.** For any map  $\iota_X: A \rightarrow X$ , the *Ganea construction* of  $\iota_X$  is the following sequence of homotopy commutative diagrams ( $i \geq 0$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & A & & \\
 & \nearrow \eta_i & & \searrow \alpha_{i+1} & \\
 F_i & & & & G_{i+1} \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} X \\
 & \searrow \beta_i & & \nearrow \gamma_i & \\
 & & G_i & & \\
 & & & \searrow g_i & 
 \end{array}$$

where the outside square is a homotopy pullback, the inside square is a homotopy pushout and the map  $g_{i+1} = (g_i, \iota_X): G_{i+1} \rightarrow X$  is the whisker map induced by this homotopy pushout. The iteration starts with  $g_0 = \iota_X: A \rightarrow X$ . We set  $\alpha_0 = \text{id}_A$ .

For any  $i \geq 0$ , there is a whisker map  $\theta_i = (\text{id}_A, \alpha_i): A \rightarrow F_i$  induced by the homotopy pullback. Thus we have the sequence of maps  $A \xrightarrow{\theta_i} F_i \xrightarrow{\eta_i} A$  and  $\theta_i$  is a homotopy section of  $\eta_i$ . Moreover we have  $\gamma_i \circ \alpha_i \simeq \alpha_{i+1}$ , thus also  $\alpha_{i+1} \simeq \gamma_i \circ \gamma_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_0$ .

We denote by  $\gamma_{i,j}: G_i \rightarrow G_j$  the composite  $\gamma_{j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \gamma_{i+1} \circ \gamma_i$  (for  $i < j$ ) and set  $\gamma_{i,i} = \text{id}_{G_i}$ .

Of course, everything in the Ganea construction depends on  $\iota_X$ . We sometimes denote  $G_i$  by  $G_i(\iota_X)$  to avoid ambiguity.

**Definition 2.** Let  $\iota_X: A \rightarrow X$  be any map.

1) The *sectional category* of  $\iota_X$  is the least integer  $n$  such that the map  $g_n: G_n(\iota_X) \rightarrow X$  has a homotopy section, i.e. there exists a map  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n(\iota_X)$  such that  $g_n \circ \sigma \simeq \text{id}_X$ .

2) The *relative category* of  $\iota_X$  is the least integer  $n$  such that the map  $g_n: G_n(\iota_X) \rightarrow X$  has a homotopy section  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma \circ \iota_X \simeq \alpha_n$ .

3) The *relative category of order  $k$*  of  $\iota_X$  is the least integer  $n$  such that the map  $g_n: G_n(\iota_X) \rightarrow X$  has a homotopy section  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma \circ g_k \simeq \gamma_{k,n}$ .

We denote the sectional category by  $\text{secat}(\iota_X)$ , the relative category by  $\text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ , and the relative category of order  $k$  by  $\text{relcat}_k(\iota_X)$ . If  $A = *$ ,  $\text{secat}(\iota_X) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$  and is denoted simply by  $\text{cat}(X)$ ; this is the ‘normalized’ version of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category.

Clearly,  $\text{secat}(\iota_X) \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ . We have also  $\text{relcat}(\iota_X) \leq \text{relcat}_1(\iota_X)$ , see Proposition 6 below.

In the sequel, we will consider a given homotopy pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{\eta} & A \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Sigma_A W
 \end{array}$$

In other words, the map  $\theta$  is a map such that  $\text{Pushcat } \theta \leq 1$  in the sense of [2]. We call this homotopy pushout a ‘relative suspension’ because in some sense,  $A$  plays the role of the point in the ordinary suspension.

We also consider any map  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ , and set  $\iota_X = f \circ \theta$ .

We don't assume  $\eta \simeq \beta$  in general. This is true, however, if  $\theta$  is a homotopy monomorphism, and in this case we can 'think' of  $\iota_X$  as the 'restriction' of  $f$  on  $A$ .

For  $n \geq 1$ , consider the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$(\dagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A \\
 & \eta \swarrow & | & & \searrow \theta \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Sigma_A W \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \omega_n & & \downarrow \alpha_{n-1} \\
 & & F_{n-1}(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_{n-1}(\iota_X) \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow \gamma_{n-1} & & \searrow g_{n-1} \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} & G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{g_n} & X \\
 & & & & \downarrow f \\
 & & & & X
 \end{array}$$

where the map  $W \rightarrow F_{n-1}$  is induced by the bottom outer homotopy pullback and the map  $\omega_n: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow G_n$  is induced by the top inner homotopy pushout. We have  $f \simeq g_n \circ \omega_n$  by the 'Whiskers maps inside a cube' lemma (see [2], Lemma 49). Also notice that  $\alpha_n \simeq \omega_n \circ \theta \simeq \gamma_{n-1} \circ \alpha_{n-1}$ ; so  $\omega_n \simeq (\alpha_n, \alpha_n)$  is the whisker map of two copies of  $\alpha_n$  induced by the homotopy pushout  $\Sigma_A W$ . Finally, for all  $k \geq 1$ , we can see that  $\omega_n \simeq \gamma_{k,n} \circ \omega_k$ .

**Definition 3.** The *Hopf category* of  $f$  is the least integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $g_n: G_n(\iota_X) \rightarrow X$  has a homotopy section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n(\iota_X)$  such that  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n$ .

We denote this integer by  $\text{hcat}(f)$ .

Actually, speaking of 'Hopf category of  $f$ ' is a misuse of language. We should speak of 'Hopf category of the datas  $\eta, \beta$  and  $f$ '.

**Example 4.** Let  $X = \Sigma_A W$  and  $f \simeq \text{id}_X$ . Then, as might be expected,  $\text{hcat}(f) = 1$ . Indeed, in this case, as  $g_1 \circ \omega_1 \simeq f \simeq \text{id}_X$ ,  $\omega_1$  is a homotopy section of  $g_1$ . Moreover,  $\omega_1 \circ f \simeq \omega_1 \circ \text{id}_X \simeq \omega_1$ , so  $\text{hcat}(f) = 1$ .

**Example 5.** Let  $X \not\simeq *$  and  $W = A \vee A$ ,  $\beta \simeq \text{pr}_1: A \vee A \rightarrow A$  and  $\eta \simeq \text{pr}_2: A \vee A \rightarrow A$  the obvious maps. Then  $\Sigma_A W \simeq *$  and we have no choice for  $f$  that must be the null map  $f: * \rightarrow X$ . In this case the condition  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n$  is always satisfied, so  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{secat}(\iota_X) = \text{cat}(X)$ .

Notice that  $\text{relcat}$  is a particular case of  $\text{hcat}$ : When  $W = A$ ,  $\eta \simeq \beta \simeq \text{id}_A$ , then  $\iota_X \simeq f$ ,  $\omega_n \simeq \alpha_n$  and  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ . Also  $\text{relcat}_1$  is a particular case of  $\text{hcat}$ : When  $W = F_0$ , then  $\Sigma_A W \simeq G_1$ ,  $\theta \simeq \gamma_0 \simeq \alpha_1$ , and if, moreover,  $f \simeq g_1$ , then  $\omega_n \simeq \gamma_{1,n}$  and  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}_1(\iota_X)$ .

The following proposition shows that these particular cases are in fact lower and upper bounds for  $\text{hcat}(f)$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Whatever can be  $f$  (and  $\iota_X = f \circ \theta$ ), we have*

$$\text{secat}(f) \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X) \leq \text{hcat}(f) \leq \text{relcat}_1(\iota_X) \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1.$$

*Proof.* Consider the following homotopy commutative diagram ( $n \geq 1$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & G_n \\
 & \nearrow \alpha_n & \nearrow \omega_n \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \Sigma_A W \\
 & \searrow \iota_X & \searrow f \\
 & & X
 \end{array}$$

We see that if there is a map  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n$  such that  $\omega_n \simeq \sigma \circ f$  then  $\alpha_n \simeq \sigma \circ \iota_X$  and this proves the second inequality.

Now consider the following homotopy commutative diagram ( $n \geq 1$ ):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & G_n & \\
 & \omega_n \curvearrowright & & \uparrow & \\
 \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\omega_1} & G_1 & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{1,n}} & \\
 & \searrow f & \searrow g_1 & \searrow g_n & \\
 & & & X & 
 \end{array}$$

We see that if there is a map  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n$  such that  $\gamma_{1,n} \simeq \sigma \circ g_1$  then  $\omega_n \simeq \sigma \circ f$  and this proves the third inequality.

The first inequality comes from  $\text{secat}(f) \leq \text{secat}(\iota_X) \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ , the first of these two inequalities comes from [2], Proposition 29.

Finally, the fourth inequality is proved in [1].  $\square$

So  $\text{hcat}(f)$  establishes a ‘dichotomy’ between maps  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ :

- Either  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$  and we have a  $\sigma$  such that  $f \circ \sigma \simeq \omega_n$  already for  $n = \text{secat}(\iota_X)$ ;
- either  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$  and we have a  $\sigma$  such that  $f \circ \sigma \simeq \omega_n$  only for  $n > \text{secat}(\iota_X)$

Our last example of the section shows that the inequalities of Proposition 6 can be strict, and even that two may be strict at the same time:

**Example 7.** Let  $X = *$ ,  $A \not\cong *$  and consider  $\iota_*: A \rightarrow *$ . We have  $G_i(\iota_*) \simeq A \bowtie \dots \bowtie A$ , the join of  $i + 1$  copies of  $A$ . For any  $k$ ,  $\gamma_{k,k} \simeq \text{id}$ , so it cannot factorize through  $*$ ; but  $\gamma_{k,k+1}$  is homotopic to the null map, so  $\text{relcat}_k(\iota_*) = k + 1$ . Now consider  $f \simeq g_1(\iota_*): A \bowtie A \rightarrow *$ . As said before, in this case we have  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}_1(\iota_X)$ . So we get  $\text{secat}(f) = 0 < \text{relcat}(\iota_*) = 1 < \text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}_1(\iota_*) = 2$ .

## 2. HOPF INVARIANT AND HOMOTOPY PUSHOUT

Let us consider any homotopy commutative square:

$$(\ddagger) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
 \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\rho} & B \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \kappa_Y \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\chi} & Y
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 8.** *The homotopy commutative square above can be splitted into the following homotopy commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & f & & & \\
 \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_1(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_1(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\
 & & & & \kappa_Y & & 
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Set  $\phi = \rho \circ \theta$ . Since  $\theta \circ \eta \simeq \theta \circ \beta$ , also  $\phi \circ \eta \simeq \phi \circ \beta$ . First notice that we can insert the original homotopy square inside the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & W & \xrightarrow{\eta} & A & \\
 & \beta \swarrow & & \swarrow & \searrow \iota_X \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\
 \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow \chi \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\
 & & & & \searrow \kappa_Y
 \end{array}$$

By induction on  $n \geq 1$ , starting from the outside cube of the above diagram and  $\phi_0 = \phi$ , we can build a homotopy diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_{n-1}(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \iota_X \\
 & & G_{n-1}(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 & & \downarrow \phi_{n-1} & & \downarrow \phi_n & & \downarrow \phi \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F_{n-1}(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \kappa_Y & & \downarrow \chi \\
 & & G_{n-1}(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{g_n} & Y
 \end{array}$$

where the dashed and dotted maps are induced by the homotopy pullback  $F_{n-1}(\kappa_Y)$  and the homotopy pushout  $G_n(\iota_X)$  respectively.

So we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A & \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow \iota_X \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{g_n} & X \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y \\
 & & & & \searrow \kappa_Y
 \end{array}$$

Finally take the homotopy pushout inside the upper and lower left squares to get the homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\omega_n} & G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X \\
 \downarrow \rho & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \chi \\
 B & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_n(\kappa_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Y
 \end{array}$$

and this gives the required splitting of the original square.  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *If the square  $\ddagger$  is a homotopy pushout, then*

$$\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) \leq \text{hcat}(f).$$

As a particular case, when  $B \simeq *$ ,  $Y$  is the homotopy cofibre of  $f$ , and  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) = \text{cat}(Y)$ . So the Proposition asserts that  $\text{hcat}(f) \geq \text{cat}(Y)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\text{hcat}(f) \leq n$ , so we have a homotopy section  $\sigma$  of  $g_n(\iota_X)$  such that  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n$ . First apply the ‘Whisker maps inside a cube’ lemma to the outer part of the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Sigma_A W & \longrightarrow & B \\
 & \swarrow \omega_n & \parallel & & \searrow \alpha_n \\
 G_n(\iota_X) & \longrightarrow & S & \xrightarrow{b} & G_n(\kappa_Y) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow c & & \downarrow g_n \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_A W} & Y & \xrightarrow{=} & Y
 \end{array}$$

where the inner horizontal squares are homotopy pushouts, and  $c$  and  $b$  are the whisker maps induced by the homotopy pushout  $S$ . Next build the following homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \Sigma_A W & \longrightarrow & B \\
 & \swarrow f & \parallel & & \searrow \kappa_Y \\
 X & \longrightarrow & Y & \xrightarrow{d} & G_n(\kappa_Y) \\
 \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow \alpha_n \\
 G_n(\iota_X) & \xrightarrow{\Sigma_A W} & S & \xrightarrow{b} & G_n(\kappa_Y)
 \end{array}$$

where  $d$  is the whisker map induced by the homotopy pushout  $Y$ . Let  $\sigma' = b \circ d$ . We have  $g_n \circ \sigma' \simeq g_n \circ b \circ d \simeq c \circ d \simeq \text{id}_C$  and  $\sigma' \circ \kappa_Y \simeq b \circ d \circ \kappa_Y \simeq b \circ a \simeq \alpha_n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *In the diagram  $\ddagger$ , if  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ , then  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 9, the hypothesis implies that  $\text{hcat}(f) \geq \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ . But by Proposition 6, we have  $\text{hcat}(f) \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ . So we have the equality.  $\square$

It is now easy to exhibit examples of maps  $f$  with  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ . Indeed there are plenty examples of homotopy pushouts where  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ :

**Example 11.** Let  $A = B = *$  and  $f: S^r \rightarrow S^n$  be any of the Hopf maps  $S^3 \rightarrow S^2$ ,  $S^7 \rightarrow S^4$  or  $S^{15} \rightarrow S^8$ . So here  $\text{relcat}(\iota_X) = \text{cat}(S^n) = 1$ . On the other hand it is well known that those maps have a homotopy cofibre  $S^n/S^r$  of category 2, so here  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) = \text{cat}(S^n/S^r) = 2$ . By Corollary 10, we have  $\text{hcat}(f) = 2$ .

**Example 12.** Let  $f$  be the map  $u$  in the homotopy cofibration

$$Z \bowtie Z \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{t_1} \Sigma Z \times \Sigma Z$$

where  $Z \bowtie Z \simeq \Sigma(Z \wedge Z)$  is the join of two copies of  $Z$  and is also the suspension of the smash product of two copies of  $Z$ . Let  $A = B = *$ ,  $\Sigma Z \not\simeq *$ . We have  $\text{relcat}(\iota_X) = \text{cat}(\Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Z) = 1$  and  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) = \text{cat}(\Sigma Z \times \Sigma Z) = 2$ , so by Corollary 10 again, we have  $\text{hcat}(u) = 2$ .

**Example 13.** For  $i \geq 1$ , let  $f$  be the map  $\beta_i$  in the Ganea construction:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & F_i & \xrightarrow{\eta_i} & A \\
 & \searrow \alpha_i & \downarrow \beta_i & & \downarrow \alpha_{i+1} \\
 & & G_i & \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} & G_{i+1}
 \end{array}$$

Actually  $F_i$  is a join over  $A$  of  $i + 1$  copies of  $F_0$ , and also a relative suspension  $\Sigma_A W$  where  $W$  is a relative smash product. For any  $i \leq \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ , we have  $\text{relcat}(\alpha_i) = i$ , see [2], Proposition 23. So by Corollary 10 again, if  $i < \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ , we have  $\text{hcat}(\beta_i) = \text{relcat}(\alpha_i) + 1 = i + 1$ .

### 3. THE STRONG HOPF CATEGORY

In [2], we introduced the strong version of  $\text{relcat}$ , namely  $\text{Relcat}$ . In this section, we introduce the strong version of  $\text{hcat}$ , namely  $\text{Hcat}$ . This gives an alternative way, sometimes useful, to see if a map has a Hopf category less or equal to  $n$ . Also this will lead to a new inequality:  $\text{hcat}(f) \geq \text{relcat}(f)$ . Consequently, if  $\text{relcat}(f) > \text{relcat}(\iota_X)$ , then  $\text{hcat}(f) = \text{relcat}(\iota_X) + 1$ .

**Definition 14.** The *strong Hopf category* of a map  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$  is the least integer  $n \geq 1$  such that:

- there are maps  $\iota_0: A \rightarrow X_0$  and a homotopy inverse  $\lambda: X_0 \rightarrow A$ , i.e.  $\iota_0 \circ \lambda \simeq \text{id}_{X_0}$  and  $\lambda \circ \iota_0 \simeq \text{id}_A$ ;
- for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ , there is a homotopy commutative cube:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & \xrightarrow{\beta} & A \\
 & \nearrow \eta & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_i \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma_A W & & \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow Z_i & \xrightarrow{z_i} & \downarrow \zeta_{i+1} \\
 & \searrow & & & X_i \\
 & & A & \xrightarrow{\iota_{i+1}} & X_{i+1} \\
 & & & & \swarrow \chi_i
 \end{array}$$

(h)

where the bottom square is a homotopy pushout.

- $X_n = X$  and  $\zeta_n \simeq f$ .

We denote this integer by  $\text{Hcat}(f)$ .

Notice that  $\iota_{i+1} \simeq \zeta_{i+1} \circ \theta \simeq \chi_i \circ \iota_i$ . In particular, this means that  $\text{Pushcat}(\iota_i) \leq i$  in the sense of [2], Definition 3.

For  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , define the sequence of maps  $\xi_i: X_i \rightarrow X$  with the relation  $\xi_i = \xi_{i+1} \circ \chi_i$  (when  $i < n$ ), starting with  $\xi_n = \text{id}_X$ . We have  $\xi_n \circ \iota_n \simeq \iota_X$  and  $\xi_i \circ \iota_i = \xi_{i+1} \circ \chi_i \circ \iota_i \simeq \xi_{i+1} \circ \iota_{i+1} \simeq \iota_X$  by decreasing induction. Also  $\iota_X \circ \lambda \simeq \xi_0 \circ \iota_0 \circ \lambda \simeq \xi_0$ . Moreover, for  $0 < i \leq n$  we have we have  $\xi_i \circ \zeta_i \simeq f$  by the

‘Whisker maps inside a cube lemma’. So we have the following homotopy diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & W & \xrightarrow{\eta} & A \\
 & \beta \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \searrow \theta \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma_A W \\
 \downarrow \iota_i & & \downarrow Z_i & & \downarrow f \\
 & & & \zeta_{i+1} \rightarrow & A \\
 & & & \downarrow \iota_{i+1} & \downarrow \iota_X \\
 X_i & \xrightarrow{\chi_i} & X_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\xi_{i+1}} & X
 \end{array}$$

We say that a map  $g: B \rightarrow Y$  is ‘relatively dominated’ by a map  $f: B \rightarrow X$  if there is a map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$  with a homotopy section  $\sigma: Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi \circ f \simeq g$  and  $\sigma \circ g \simeq f$ .

**Proposition 15.** *A map  $g: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow Y$  has  $\text{hcat}(g) \leq n$  iff  $g$  est relatively dominated by a map  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{Hcat}(f) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the map  $\omega_n: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow G_n(\iota_Y)$  as in diagram † and notice that  $\text{Hcat}(\omega_n) \leq n$ . If  $\text{hcat}(f) \leq n$ , then  $f$  is relatively dominated by  $\omega_n$ .

For the reverse direction, by hypothesis, we have a map  $\varphi$  and a homotopy section  $\sigma$  such that  $\varphi \circ f \simeq g$  and  $\sigma \circ g \simeq f$ ; composing with  $\theta$ , we have also  $\varphi \circ \iota_X \simeq \iota_Y$  and  $\sigma \circ \iota_Y \simeq \iota_X$ . From the hypothesis  $\text{Hcat}(f) \leq n$ , we get a sequence of homotopy commutative diagrams, for  $0 \leq i < n$ , which gives the top part of the following diagram.

We show by induction that the map  $\varphi \circ \xi_i: X_i \rightarrow Y$  factors through  $g_i: G_i(\iota_Y) \rightarrow Y$  up to homotopy. This is true for  $i = 0$  since we have  $\xi_0 \simeq \iota_X \circ \lambda$ , so  $\varphi \circ \xi_0 \simeq \varphi \circ \iota_X \circ \lambda \simeq \iota_Y \circ \lambda = g_0 \circ \lambda$ . Suppose now that we have a map  $\lambda_i: X_i \rightarrow G_i(\iota_Y)$  such that  $g_i \circ \lambda_i \simeq \varphi \circ \xi_i$ . Then we construct a homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & Z_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 & z_i \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow \iota_{i+1} & \searrow \\
 X_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\xi_{i+1}} & X \\
 \downarrow \lambda_i & & \downarrow \lambda_{i+1} & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 & & F_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha_{i+1} & & \downarrow \\
 G_i(\iota_Y) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_{i+1}(\iota_Y) & \xrightarrow{g_{i+1}} & Y
 \end{array}$$

where  $Z_i \dashrightarrow F_i$  is the whisker map induced by the bottom homotopy pullback and  $\lambda_{i+1}: X_{i+1} \dashrightarrow G_{i+1}(\iota_Y)$  is the whisker map induced by the top homotopy pushout. The composite  $g_{i+1} \circ \lambda_{i+1}$  is homotopic to  $\varphi \circ \xi_{i+1}$ . Hence the inductive step is proven.

At the end of the induction, we have  $g_n \circ \lambda_n \simeq \varphi \circ \xi_n = \varphi \circ \text{id}_X = \varphi$ . As we have a homotopy section  $\sigma: Y \rightarrow X_n = X$  of  $\varphi$ , we get a homotopy section  $\lambda_n \circ \sigma$  of  $g_n$ . Moreover, we have  $(\lambda_n \circ \sigma) \circ g \simeq \lambda_n \circ f \simeq \lambda_n \circ \zeta_n \simeq \omega_n$ .  $\square$

**Example 16.** If we consider any relative suspension  $\Sigma_A f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow \Sigma_A Z$  (and in particular, of course, when  $A = *$ , any suspension  $\Sigma f: \Sigma W \rightarrow \Sigma Z$ ), we have  $\text{Hcat}(\Sigma_A f) = 1$ . And so, any map  $g$  that is relatively dominated by a (relative) suspension has  $\text{hcat}(g) = 1$ .



In fact, by definition, a map  $g$  has  $\text{Hcat}(g) = 1$  if and only if  $g$  is a (relative) suspension. There are maps for which the strong Hopf category is greater than the Hopf category: For instance, consider the null map  $f: * \rightarrow X$  of Example 5; if  $X$  is a space with  $\text{cat}(X) = 1$  that is not a suspension, then  $f$  cannot be a suspension, so  $\text{Hcat}(f) > \text{hcat}(f) = 1$ .

**Proposition 17.** *In the diagram  $\natural$ , we have*

$$\text{Relcat}(\zeta_i) \leq i$$

As  $\omega_i$  is a particular case of  $\zeta_i$ , this implies  $\text{Relcat}(\omega_i) \leq i$ .

*Proof.* For  $i > 0$ , let build the following homotopy diagram where the three squares are homotopy pushouts:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \eta & & \\
 W & \xrightarrow{\quad} & Z_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A \\
 \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow^{z_{i-1}} & \downarrow \theta \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Sigma_A W \\
 \downarrow \iota_{i-1} & & \downarrow c_{i-1} & & \downarrow \zeta_i \\
 & & X_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & X_i
 \end{array}$$

and where the map  $c_{i-1} = (\iota_{i-1}, z_{i-1})$  is the whisker map induced by the homotopy pushout.

We have  $\text{secat}(\iota_{i-1}) \leq \text{Pushcat}(\iota_{i-1}) \leq i - 1$  by [2], Theorem 18. So  $\text{secat}(c_{i-1}) \leq i - 1$  by [2], Proposition 29. So  $\text{Relcat}(c_{i-1}) \leq (i - 1) + 1 = i$  by [2], Theorem 18. And this implies  $\text{Relcat}(\zeta_i) \leq i$  by [2], Lemma 11.  $\square$

**Theorem 18.** *For any  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$ , we have*

$$\text{Relcat}(f) \leq \text{Hcat}(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{relcat}(f) \leq \text{hcat}(f)$$

*Proof.* If  $\text{Hcat}(f) = n$ , then we have  $f \simeq \zeta_n$  in  $\natural$ . So  $\text{Relcat}(f) = \text{Relcat}(\zeta_n) \leq n$  by Proposition 17.

If  $\text{hcat}(f) = n$ , then  $f$  is relatively dominated by  $\omega_n$ . As  $\text{Relcat}(\omega_n) \leq n$ , we have  $\text{relcat}(f) \leq n$  by [2], Proposition 10.  $\square$

As a corollary, we get an indirect proof of Proposition 9 because  $\text{relcat}(\kappa_Y) \leq \text{relcat}(f)$  by [2], Lemma 11, that asserts that a homotopy pushout doesn't increase the relative category.

It is not difficult to find an example where these inequalities are strict:

**Example 19.** Let  $f$  be the map  $t_1$  in the homotopy cofibration

$$Z \bowtie Z \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma Z \vee \Sigma Z \xrightarrow{t_1} \Sigma Z \times \Sigma Z$$

Let  $A = *$ ,  $\Sigma Z \neq *$ . As  $t_1$  is a homotopy cofibre, we have  $\text{relcat}(t_1) \leq \text{Relcat}(t_1) \leq 1$ , see [2], Proposition 9. On the other hand, we have  $\text{Hcat}(t_1) \geq \text{hcat}(t_1) \geq \text{relcat}(\iota_X) = \text{cat}(\Sigma Z \times \Sigma Z) = 2$  by Proposition 6.

## 4. EQUIVALENT CONDITIONS TO GET THE HOPF CATEGORY

Let be given any map  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{secat}(\iota_X) \leq n$  and any homotopy section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n$  of  $g_n: G_n \rightarrow X$ . Consider the following homotopy pullbacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Q & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \Sigma_A W & & \\
 \pi' \downarrow & & \theta_n^W \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 \Sigma_A W & \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}} & H_n & \xrightarrow{\eta_n^W} & \Sigma_A W \\
 f \downarrow & & f_n \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & G_n & \xrightarrow{g_n} & X
 \end{array}$$

where  $\theta_n^W = (\omega_n, \text{id}_{\Sigma_A W})$  is the whisker map induced by the homotopy pullback  $H_n$ . By the ‘Prism lemma’ (see [2], Lemma 46, for instance), we know that the homotopy pullback of  $\sigma$  and  $f_n$  is indeed  $\Sigma_A W$ , and that  $\eta_n^W \circ \bar{\sigma} \simeq \text{id}_{\Sigma_A W}$ . Also notice that  $\pi \simeq \pi'$  since  $\pi \simeq \eta_n^W \circ \theta_n^W \circ \pi \simeq \eta_n^W \circ \bar{\sigma} \circ \pi' \simeq \pi'$ .

**Proposition 20.** *Let be given any map  $f: \Sigma_A W \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{secat}(\iota_X) \leq n$  and any homotopy section  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_n(\iota_X)$  of  $g_n: G_n(\iota_X) \rightarrow X$ . With the same definitions and notations as above, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n$ .
- (ii)  $\pi$  has a homotopy section.
- (iii)  $\pi$  is a homotopy epimorphism.
- (iv)  $\theta_n^W \simeq \bar{\sigma}$ .

*Proof.* We have the following sequence of implications:

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Since  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n \simeq f_n \circ \theta_n^W \circ \text{id}_{\Sigma_A W}$ , we have a whisker map  $(f, \text{id}_{\Sigma_A W}): \Sigma_A W \rightarrow Q$  induced by the homotopy pullback  $Q$  which is a homotopy section of  $\pi$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii): Obvious.

(iii)  $\implies$  (iv): We have  $\theta_n^W \circ \pi \simeq \bar{\sigma} \circ \pi$  since  $\pi \simeq \pi'$ . Thus  $\theta_n^W \simeq \bar{\sigma}$  since  $\pi$  is a homotopy epimorphism.

(iv)  $\implies$  (i): We have  $\sigma \circ f \simeq f_n \circ \bar{\sigma} \simeq f_n \circ \theta_n^W \simeq \omega_n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 21.** *Let be a  $(q-1)$ -connected map  $\iota_X: A \rightarrow X$  with  $\text{secat} \iota_X \leq n$ . If  $\Sigma_A W$  is a CW-complex with  $\dim \Sigma_A W < (n+1)q-1$  then  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_n$  for any homotopy section  $\sigma$  of  $g_n$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $g_i$  is the  $(i+1)$ -fold join of  $\iota_X$ . Thus by [4], Theorem 47, we obtain that, for each  $i \geq 0$ ,  $g_i: G_i \rightarrow X$  is  $(i+1)q-1$ -connected. As  $g_i$  and  $\eta_i^W$  have the same homotopy fibre, the Five lemma implies that  $\eta_i^W: H_i \rightarrow \Sigma_A W$  is  $(i+1)q-1$ -connected, too. By [5], Theorem IV.7.16, this means that for every CW-complex  $K$  with  $\dim K < (i+1)q-1$ ,  $\eta_i^W$  induces a one-to-one correspondence  $[K, H_i] \rightarrow [K, \Sigma_A W]$ . Apply this to  $K = \Sigma_A W$  and  $i = n$ : Since  $\theta_n^W$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  are both homotopy sections of  $\eta_n^W$ , we obtain  $\theta_n^W \simeq \bar{\sigma}$ , and Proposition 20 implies the desired result.  $\square$

**Example 22.** Let  $A = *$  and  $W = S^{r-1}$ , so  $\Sigma_A W = S^r$ , and  $X = S^m$ . In this case  $\text{secat} \iota_X = \text{cat} S^m = 1$ . Hence Theorem 21 means that if  $r < 2m-1$ , we have  $\sigma \circ f \simeq \omega_1$ , whatever can be  $f$  and  $\sigma: X \rightarrow G_1(\iota_X)$ , so  $\text{hcat} f = 1$  and we get

by Proposition 9 that the homotopy cofibre  $C$  of  $f$  has  $\text{cat } C \leq 1$ . (Notice that if  $r < m$  then  $f$  is a nullhomotopic, so  $C$  is simply  $S^m \vee S^{r+1}$ .)

**Example 23.** Let  $A = *$ ,  $\Sigma W \simeq \Sigma(S^{r-1} \vee S^{r-1}) \simeq S^r \vee S^r$ ,  $X \simeq S^r \times S^r$  and consider  $t_1: S^r \vee S^r \rightarrow S^r \times S^r$ . Here  $\text{secat}(\iota_X) = \text{cat}(S^r \times S^r) = 2$ . For any  $r \geq 1$ , we have  $\dim(S^r \vee S^r) = r < (2+1)r - 1$ , so  $\text{hcat}(t_1) = 2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Jean-Paul Doeraene. About sectional category of the ganea maps, 2015. arXiv:1507.07752.
- [2] Jean-Paul Doeraene and Mohammed El Haouari. Up-to-one approximations for sectional category and topological complexity. *Topology and its Appl.*, 160:766–783, 2013.
- [3] Norio Iwase. Ganea’s conjecture on lusterik-schnirelmann category. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 30:623–634, 1198.
- [4] Michael Mather. Pull-backs in homotopy theory. *Canad. Journ. Math.*, 28(2):225–263, 1976.
- [5] George W. Whitehead. *Elements of homotopy theory*, volume 64 of *Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.